

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,009	02/02/2001	Michael A. Vyvoda	MA-027 7430		
33971 7	7590 05/07/2003				
MATRIX SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.			EXAMINER		
3230 SCOTT I SANTA CLAF	RA, CA 95034		MAI, ANH D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)	,,				
		09/776,009		VYVODA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Anh D. Mai		2814	_				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence addres	ss 				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minin will apply and will expire So, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this commu	unication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.	January 2003 .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) Th	is action is non-fin	al.						
3) [3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	on of Claims	application							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 30-56</u> is/are pending in the		tion						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wii irom considera	uon.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 30-56</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		4						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requiren	nent.						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r							
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		d to by the Exan	niner					
السارة	Applicant may not request that any objection to th								
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	-							
,	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	•				
•—	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			(-) (-)					
۵٫۱	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			on No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				ae				
* S	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		3-				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).				
) \square The translation of the foreign language prok	• •							
Attachment	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15					
S. Patent and To	rademark Office	-Ai O		Dort of Don	No 45				

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Amendment filed January 14, 2003 has been entered as Paper No. 14. Claims 15-29 have been canceled. Claims 1, 30 and 44 have been amended. Claims 1-14 and 30-56 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-12, 14, 30-41, 43, 44-54 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,258,696), of record, in view of Lyons et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,930,645).

With respect to claim 1, insofar the device is concerned, Lee teaches a wafer having a surface substantially similar as claimed including:

a plurality of regions of semiconductor (402') and dielectric (403) exposed at the surface of the wafer (400) after chemical mechanical planarization, wherein the semiconductor (402') regions have a total surface area that is less than or equal to a first fraction of a total surface area of the wafer (400) and each of the semiconductor regions have a shortest surface dimension that is less than or equal to a first width, the first fraction and the first width ensuring that the surface of the wafer can attract enough water to wet sufficiently allowing removal of residual particles therefrom. (See Fig. 4E, col. 1-10).

Art Unit: 2814

Thus, Lee is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of disclosing the semiconductor region (402') is formed over a substrate.

However, Lyons teaches that the dielectric isolation can be formed in a semiconductor substrate or in an epitaxial layer formed over a semiconductor substrate. (See col. 3, Il. 25-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the semiconductor region (402') of Lee over a semiconductor substrate as taught by Lyons because the impurity concentration of the semiconductor regions (device layer) can easily be controlled and crystalline perfection can be achieved. This matter is well known in the art.

Product by process limitation:

The expressions "after chemical mechanical planarization" and "allowing removal of residual particles therefrom" are taken to be a product by process limitation and is given no patentable weight. A product by process claim directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See *In re Fessman*, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974); *In re Marosi et al.*, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983); and particularly *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final structure of the product "gleaned" from the process steps, which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old and obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not.

Art Unit: 2814

Note that, other means can be used to expose the surface of the semiconductor region and the dielectric, such as chemical etch.

Furthermore, since the device of Lee is formed having the dimensions as claimed, thus, it should function as claimed.

With respect to claim 30, insofar the device is concerned, Lee teaches a wafer having a surface substantially similar as claimed including:

means for attracting water (403) to the surface of the wafer (400); and

means for repelling water (402') from the surface of the wafer (400) comprising regions that have a combined surface area that is less than or equal to a first fraction of a surface area of the wafer, wherein each of the regions has a shortest surface dimension that is less than or equal to a first width, and the first fraction and the first width ensure that the surface of the wafer can attract enough water to wet sufficiently allowing removal of residual particles therefrom. (See Fig. 4E, col. 1-10).

With respect to: "wafer comprising regions above a substrate" and <u>Product-by-process</u> <u>limitation</u>, a similar reasoning as that of claim 1 is also applied here.

With respect to claim 44, insofar the device is concerned, Lee teaches a wafer having a surface substantially similar as claimed including:

a plurality of regions of hydrophobic material (402') and hydrophilic material (403) exposed at the surface of the wafer (400) after chemical mechanical planarization, wherein the

Art Unit: 2814

regions of hydrophobic material (402') have a total surface area that is less than or equal to a first fraction of a surface area of the wafer (400) and each of the regions of hydrophobic material (402') have a shortest surface dimension that is less than or equal to a first width, and the first fraction and the first width ensure that the surface of the wafer (400) can attract enough water to wet sufficiently allowing removal of residual particles therefrom. (See Fig. 4E, col. 1-10).

With respect to: "wherein the regions of hydrophobic material are deposited over a substrate", and *Product-by-process limitation*, a similar reasoning as that of claim 1 is also applied here.

With respect to claims 2, 3, 31, 32, 45 and 46, the first fraction of Lee appears to be about 50% or 60% since the semiconductor regions (402') and the dielectric regions (403) appear to be evenly spaced. (See Fig. 4A).

With respect to claims 4, 5, 33, 34, 47 and 48, Lee teaches the first width (W) is about 0.44 mm. (See col. 5, lines 43-47).

Thus, is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of explicitly disclosing the first width of 2.5 mm or 500 μm .

Note that the specification contains <u>no disclosure</u> of either the *critical nature of the* claimed dimension of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to based upon particular chosen dimension or upon another variable recited in a claim, the

Art Unit: 2814

Applicant must show that the chosen dimension are critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the first width (W) of Lee larger than 0.44 mm so that more devices can be formed on the semiconductor region.

With respect to claims 6, 35 and 49, the semiconductor regions or the means for repelling water or the hydrophobic material (402') of Lee comprises silicon.

With respect to claims 7, 36 and 50, the dielectric region or the means for attracting water or the hydrophilic material (403) of Lee comprises silicon dioxide.

With respect to claims 8, 39 and 51, the regions of dielectric or the means for attracting water or the hydrophilic material (403) and semiconductor or the means for repelling water or the hydrophobic material (402') of Lee alternate along the surface of the wafer (400).

With respect to claims 9 and 37, the regions of dielectric or the means for attracting water (403) of Lee are elongated strips.

With respect to claims 10 and 40, the regions of semiconductor or the means for repelling water (402') of Lee are elongated strips.

With respect to claims 11, 38 and 53, the regions of dielectric or the means for attracting water or the hydrophilic material (403) of Lee are rectangular.

With respect to claims 12, 41 and 54, the regions of semiconductor or the means for repelling water or the hydrophobic material (402') of Lee are rectangular.

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claims 14 and 56, the region of semiconductor or the hydrophobic material (402') of Lee are interspersed within a sea of dielectric.

With respect to claim 43, the means for attracting (403) of Lee comprises dielectric, the means for repelling (402') water comprises semiconductor regions, and the semiconductor regions (402') are interspersed within a sea of dielectric.

With respect to claim 52, the regions of hydrophobic material (402') and hydrophilic material (403) of Lee are elongated strips.

3. Claims 13, 42 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee '696 as applied to claims 1, 30 and 44 above, and further in view of Inoue (U.S. Patent No. 4,656,054) of record.

Lee teaches semiconductor regions (402') of wafer (400) having various shapes.

Thus, Lee is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of semiconductor regions having a shape of hexagon.

However, Inoue teaches semiconductor regions can be formed in to various shapes using a mask having a particular shapes such as hexagon. (See Fig. 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the semiconductor region of Lee having hexagonal shapes as taught by Inoue because more semiconductor regions having hexagonal shape can be made in a given area (Fig. 8) than the other shapes. (See Figs. 5 and 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/776,009 Page 8

Art Unit: 2814

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh D. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-0575. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2814

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

A.M April 28, 2003

LONG PHAM

LONG PHAM

EDINARY EXAMINER